

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION)	DOCKET NO.
On Its Own Motion)	10-0275
-vs-)	
COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY)	
Reconciliation of revenues)	
collected under power procurement)	
riders with actual costs)	
associated with power procurement)	
expenditures.)	

Springfield, Illinois
Wednesday, June 29, 2011

Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. JOHN RATNASWAMY
ROONEY, RIPPKE & RATNASWAMY, L.L.P.
350 West Hubbard Street, Suite 430
Chicago, Illinois 60654
Ph. (312) 447-2850

(Appearing via teleconference on
behalf of Commonwealth Edison
Company)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
CSR #084-002710

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2 MR. THOMAS J. RUSSELL
3 EXELON BUSINESS SERVICES
4 10 South Dearborn Street, 49th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Ph. (312) 394-7162

5 (Appearing via teleconference on
6 behalf of Commonwealth Edison
Company)

7 MS. NICOLE T. LUCKEY
8 MR. JOHN L. SAGONE
9 OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
10 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Ph. (312) 793-8184

11 (Appearing via teleconference on
12 behalf of Staff witnesses of the
13 Illinois Commerce Commission)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1		<u>I N D E X</u>			
2					
3	<u>WITNESS</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
4	(None)				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13		<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
14					
15				<u>MARKED</u>	<u>ADMITTED</u>
	(None)				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

7
8
9
20
21
22

21
22

22

1 Commonwealth Edison.

2 JUDGE JONES: Okay. Thank you. And you have
3 entered an appearance previously on the record?

4 MR. RUSSELL: Yes, I believe I have.

5 JUDGE JONES: All right. Thank you.

6 Commission Staff?

7 MS. LUCKEY: On behalf of the Staff of the
8 Illinois Commerce Commission, Nicole T. Luckey and
9 John L. Sagone, and we have previously entered our
10 appearance on the record.

11 Also present in the hearing room is
12 Bonnie Pearce of the Financial Analysis Division.

13 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other
14 appearances?

15 (No response.)

16 Let the record show no response.

17 Are the parties ready to proceed with
18 some proposed scheduling or do you need a moment off
19 the record to go over that among yourselves?

20 MR. RATNASWAMY: I believe we are ready, Your
21 Honor.

22 JUDGE JONES: Would someone care to indicate

1 for the record what you believe to be the proposal to
2 put forward?

3 MR. RATNASWAMY: Sure. This is John Ratnaswamy
4 again.

5 Yesterday Staff proposed to
6 Commonwealth Edison Company that the Company file
7 some supplemental testimony, and the Company is
8 willing to file some supplemental testimony. But
9 because of the witnesses' schedule, we would like,
10 and I believe Staff is in agreement or at least not
11 in opposition, we would like five weeks for that.

12 JUDGE JONES: That's five weeks from today?

13 MR. RATNASWAMY: Yes, sir.

14 JUDGE JONES: So that would be -- is that
15 August 3?

16 MS. LUCKEY: That's correct, Your Honor.

17 JUDGE JONES: And just generally speaking that
18 supplemental testimony would cover what?

19 MS. LUCKEY: Your Honor, we would hope that it
20 would cover -- it would contain additional detail
21 regarding the administrative costs related to
22 procuring the supply of power.

1 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. And then what are the
2 parties proposing would happen after the filing of
3 that testimony?

4 MS. LUCKEY: Your Honor, we thought that it
5 would be a good idea to schedule a status about two
6 weeks after the testimony, so that Staff can let the
7 Company and let you know whether we believe
8 additional discovery is necessary, additional
9 testimony is necessary or whether Staff is satisfied
10 with everything that the Company has provided.

11 JUDGE JONES: Okay, thank you. In order to try
12 to pin down a date, we hereby go off the record.

13 (Whereupon there was then had an
14 off-the-record discussion.)

15 JUDGE JONES: Back on the record. I believe
16 the parties are in agreement on a date for the
17 above-referenced hearing of August 17 at 9:30 a.m.
18 with participation by telephone to be permitted. Are
19 there any points of clarification with respect to
20 that setting?

21 MS. LUCKEY: Nothing from Staff, Your Honor.

22 MR. RATNASWAMY: Nothing.

1 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Subject to approval
2 of the above scheduling, do the parties have anything
3 else to take up at this status hearing today?

4 MR. RATNASWAMY: Not that I am aware of, Your
5 Honor.

6 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Let the record show
7 there are no other matters to take up. Let the
8 record also show that the scheduling just read into
9 the record by counsel for ComEd and the Commission
10 Staff is adopted. As noted, that includes a filing
11 date and then a hearing date on August 17. Those
12 dates are approved.

13 At this time then let the record show
14 that today's hearing is concluded. In accordance
15 with the above, this matter is continued to a hearing
16 date of August 17 at the hour of 9:30 a.m.

17 (Whereupon the hearing in this
18 matter was continued until
19 August 17, 2011, at 9:30 a.m. in
20 Springfield, Illinois.)

21
22